

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)  
United States Attorney

J. DOUGLAS WILSON (DCBN 412811)  
Chief, Criminal Division

ADAM A. REEVES (NYBN 2363877)  
ROBERT DAVID REES (CABN 229441)  
Assistant United States Attorneys

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
San Francisco, California 94102-3495  
Telephone: (415) 436-7210  
FAX: (415) 436-7234  
Email: robert.rees@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	NO. CR 14 544 WHA
	)	[FILED OCTOBER 30, 2014]
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
CRAIG ON,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	NO. CR 11 664 JSW
	)	[FILED SEPTEMBER 15, 2011]
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	<b>NOTICE OF RELATED CASE</b>
	)	<b>IN A CRIMINAL ACTION</b>
	)	
EBRAHIM SHABUDIN and	)	
THOMAS YU,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

The United States of America, pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 8-1, hereby notifies the Court that the two above-captioned criminal cases are related. The more recent charge, CR 14 544 WHA, filed

NOTICE OF RELATED CASES  
U.S. v. ON, CR 14 544 WHA  
U.S. v. SHABUDIN, et. al., CR 11 664 JSW

1 by Information on October 30, 2014 (*see* Attachment A) involves the same joint criminal activity as  
2 charged in the Indictment in case CR 11 664 JSW, filed on September 15, 2011 and currently pending  
3 before Hon. Jeffrey S. White (*see* Attachment B). Both cases allege fraud and other offenses arising out  
4 of the financial reporting of the former United Commercial Bank (“UCB”) and the defendants in both  
5 cases are former officers at UCB.

6 On, the defendant charged in the newer case, has been cooperating with the United States in the  
7 investigation of the older case against defendants Shabudin and Yu. It is anticipated that On will plead  
8 guilty to the Information in case CR 14 544 WHA and then testify at the February 9, 2015 trial set in the  
9 previous case, CR 11 664 JSW, which has been assigned to Judge White.

10 Based upon these facts, the cases are related within the meaning of Local Rule 8-1(b)(1) because  
11 they involve the same occurrences. Furthermore, the cases are related within the meaning of Local Rule  
12 8-1(b)(2) because if heard by separate judges they likely would involve substantial duplication of labor  
13 by the two judges.

14 Per the requirement of Local Criminal Rule 8-1(c)(4), undersigned counsel states that assignment  
15 of these cases to a single judge is likely to conserve judicial resources and promote an efficient  
16 determination of each action.

17  
18 DATED: November 3, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

MELINDA HAAG  
United States Attorney

21 \_\_\_\_\_/s  
22 ROBERT DAVID REES  
23 Assistant United States Attorney  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28